UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/598,717	09/08/2006	Tetsuo Shimomura	UNIU40.017APC	9262
20995 7590 04/16/2009 KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP 2040 MAIN STREET FOURTEENTH FLOOR IRVINE, CA 92614			EXAMINER	
			GRANT, ALVIN J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3723	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/16/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com eOAPilot@kmob.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	10/598,717	SHIMOMURA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	ALVIN J. GRANT	3723				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Ja	nnuarv 2009.					
	action is non-final.					
<i>;</i>	, _					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6,7,9,10,14-16,18,19 and 21-24</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>25 and 26</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6,7,9,10,14-16,18,19 and 21-24</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	,, a					
·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
o) Ciaim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite				

Application/Control Number: 10/598,717 Page 2

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 14-16, 18, 19 and 21-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Roberts 6,676,483.

Referring to claims 1-4, 6, 18, 19 and 21-24, Roberts discloses a polishing apparatus having the claimed elements that comprise a polishing pad having a polishing region and a light transmitting region, and the light transmitting material being made of polyester polycarbonate polyol. Roberts does not specifically disclose all the material which the apparatus is formed, nor did Roberts disclose the light-transmitting region being formed in a single layer structure. The material from which the apparatus is made can be determined through routine experimentation; and as far as the single light-transmitting section is concerned, the forming in one piece an article which has formerly been formed in two pieces and put together involves only routine skill in the art.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have made Roberts' apparatus of the claimed materials, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

Art Unit: 3723

Referring to claims 7, 9, 10 and 14-16, as best understood, rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Roberts.

Roberts is described above. In describing the apparatus, Roberts implicitly describes the claimed manufacturing steps, except disclosing that the solution in which the material was submerged is potassium hydroxide. The use of a particular solution is a matter of engineering expedient since the purpose for which the material is to be used is known. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used potassium hydroxide as the solution, since it has been held that omission of an element and its function in a combination where the remaining elements perform the same functions as before involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Karlson*, 136 USPQ 184.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 25 and 26 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 1/21/09 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to Applicant's arguments that US Patent No. 6,676,483 (to Roberts) does not disclose a light transmitting region having a single layer structure, the forming in one

Art Unit: 3723

piece an article which has formerly been formed in two pieces and put together involves only routine skill in the art.

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 25 and 26 are moot since the claims are approved.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ALVIN J. GRANT whose telephone number is (571)272-4484. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph J. Hail can be reached on (571) 272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Alvin J Grant/ Examiner, Art Unit 3723 Application/Control Number: 10/598,717

Page 5

Art Unit: 3723